

# EAG

ECONOMIC ALLIANCE GROUP

## ER&A

ER and Associates Limited  
(International Development Cooperation Consultants)

# ISPE

INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR POVERTY ELIMINATION (Global NGO)

## NEHMAP Initiative

New End Hunger, Malnutrition and Poverty (Global Social Enterprise)

### Policy Brief

#### **Fighting and Winning War on Conflict, Crime and Unsustainable Practices (3) : Delivering on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in all 193/306 UN Member States in 12 ½ Years Remaining to end 2030 Target Date – Moving from Thought to Action.**

#### **Introduction**

The Sustainable Development Goals, SDGs were agreed by World Leaders in September 2015 as ambitious Global Agenda to Transform Our World by 2030. The SDGs is a UN brokered Agreement aimed at making ever aspects of our World's Economies and Societies, at each specific Community, Sub-national, National, Sub-regional, Regional and Global, CSnNSrRG, level truly Sustainable. As 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2018 Year 3 of Implementation end, that is by end 10 of 60 Quarters it appears to be Motion without Movement.

ECOSOC Synthesis Report May 2018 being input to HPLF 8 – 19 July 2018 finding is that should progress towards achieving delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge (which states that no SDG Goal will be considered met if it is not achieved by all Peoples in all Countries) continue at current pace, current priorities and current direction, the Goals cannot be delivered in projected time frame.

This ECOSOC Report finding is saying in essence that all North and South Countries or All 193/306 UN Member States are Off Track achieving delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge by end 2030 target date. This coincides with Independent Peer Review of German Sustainable Development Strategy Report June 2018; UK Parliament Environmental Audit Committee SDG in UK Report April 2017; ISPE/EAG Study findings and Study findings of other Institutions in both North and South Countries.

The Big Issue is that while the ECOSOC Report, others Countries and Institutions Reports identify the problem, it is only ISPE/EAG Report that suggest Sustainable Solutions to these real and complex problems on the ground as unique to each specific CSnNSrRG location context built upon New Pace, New Priorities and New Direction for effectively moving from

Thoughts to Practical Action for Results in delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge at each specific CSnNSrRG location context and in the 12 ½ years remaining to end 2030 target date.

This Policy Brief set out New Ideas, New Thinking, New Ways of DOING Things within New Partnerships, which meaningfully put People in each specific Community, Country, Continent location context at the Centre of applicable Policy, Program, Project Intervention, 3PI and 3PI Training as One in each of the 4 Agendas and in ways that improve Prosperity, promote Peace and protect Planet.

### **SDGs Accountability in North and South Countries**

It will be recalled that 5 year Evaluation of Paris Declaration, PD in 2010 was damning. Some action on this Report led to PD being replaced by Busan Declaration BD in 2011. OECD has some Evaluation of Aid Effectiveness in 2016 that is damning. It is clear that a 5 year Evaluation of BD will be equally damning and confirm that by 2016 to date, many of the fundamental problems in the Implementation and Evaluation of PD, that BD was designed in 2011 to correct, are still outstanding and many probably worse today.

It is pertinent to note that without the National Leaders and World Leaders knowing why there were flaws and failures in the Implementation and Evaluation of Original PD and BD, National Leaders and World Leaders will not know HOW to redesign BD Implementation and Evaluation in 2018 and beyond in and ways that help achieve increasing convergence between 2030 Transformation Agenda – AAAA, SDG, COP21, Agenda 21 aligned and harmonized with Community Development Plans and Country Development Plans in each of 306/193 UN Member States.

It is pertinent to note further that to achieve cure and prevention: sustainable success in National and International Development Cooperation, as in Medicine, you need to work out the underlying cause, that is correctly identify all primary cause or root cause problems; make correct prescription, correct surgery and correct recovery management rather than immediately jumping to treat symptoms that may produce some quick relief or quick wins but ultimately make the situation worse, with ultimate catastrophic consequences if correct measures are not taken on time.

For example, Chiropractic Medicine Practitioners do Systems Analysis. Suppose someone comes in to the clinic with a pain in the left knee. The Chiropractic Doctor does not try to fix the left knee. He/she would rather ask “What is the Patient doing with his/her shoulders, back or ankles that put stress on the left knee but not the right knee”. This is Systems analysis and the Major Strength of ISPE/EAG. The Chiropractic Doctor then intervenes in ways that help the Patient discover HOW to move his/her whole body in an integrated way so that the knee is no longer stressed.

The Great Chiropractic Medicine Question is “How is this Body (in Development this Institution or this Society or Constituency or Location – from Community to Global) organized to produce the Symptoms that we do not want”? That is Symptom is an

indication of an underlying disease condition, it is not the disease. Therefore to treat the Symptom is to leave the disease untreated and this only causes further deterioration of the Medical or National/International Development problem.

It is therefore easy to appreciate why Individuals/Institutions/ Governments give undue focus to answer What questions (easier to find) and treat Symptoms (easier to do) rather than give required focus to answer HOW questions (especially difficult to find) and treat root cause or primary cause of the National and International Development Problem (especially difficult to do). In continuing such National / International Development Cooperation, National Leaders and World Leaders on UN System: UNO, WBG, IMF; UN Member States Governments – Executive, Parliament and Judiciary; CSOs/NGOs; Farmer and Processor Organizations; Private Sector – Micro, Small, Medium, Large, Multinational Enterprises; Academics and Researchers; Internal and External Consultants only postpone the evil day; as our World continue accelerating on MADning (Mutually Assured destruction) Road to DOOM. To save our Fragile Planet from Imminent Destruction, there is URGENT Need for National Leaders and World Leaders in the identified 7 Blocks – to Individually and Jointly help Configure / Re-configure our World to Change for Good and continue accelerating on MAPing (Mutually Assured Prosperity) Road to BOOM.

In view of the above there are Information, Knowledge and Research Gaps that need to be correctly identified and filled before the Global Partnership can come up with a practical Work Plan that is based on Business Unusual Overhauling Decayed Systems to Deliver Needed Change from Community to Global levels rather than a Work Plan that is Business as Usual Parroting Change for Change Sake that is Business as Usual worsening Decayed Systems at Community to Global levels.

### **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Disproportional Influence of Trained Economists despite Track Record of Flaws and Failures**

The SDGs/SDGs Pledge Process like the MDG Process seem to be a less productive MDG Mode process exclusively driven by Trained Economists rather than a more productive SDG Mode process inclusively driven by Multidisciplinary Professionals on 4 Levels from Lowest to Highest: Grassroots Professionals Level 1; Grassroots Professionals Level 2; Higher Professionals Level 1 and Higher Professionals Level 2. It appears that the current SDG/SDGs Pledge Process is for Higher Professionals Level 2 only, yet these Trained Economists do not have the minimum certain levels of Hard Competencies: Learning and Skills and Soft Competencies: Character, Courage, Cultural, Discipline and Mindset required to effectively support all relevant National and International Development Cooperation Stakeholders in each specific CSnNSrRG location context to get back on Track to achieve delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in 12 ½ years remaining. This raises Huge Capacity Building Challenges for Higher Professionals Level 2 Professionals – Academics, Researchers, Professionals and Practitioners as well as the 3 remaining identified levels of Professionals – Academics, Researchers, Professionals and Practitioners that needs to be urgently tackled.

## **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Inclusion and Participation**

We note that serious discussion is ongoing on UN System (UNO component, rather than entire UNO, WBG, IMF and WTO (ITO) as One Integrated System) future. We note further that UN Member States are looking up to UNO and WBG for help to achieve delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge; that UNDESA has been saddled with responsibility to lead UNO support to UN Member States; that UNDESA is also saddled with responsibility to Re-engineer itself to be in a position to deliver on this huge responsibility.

To achieve this UNDESA will have to effectively support not just UN Member States but also Major Groups and other Stakeholders, MGoS to deliver on their duties and responsibilities in the new dispensation. It is pertinent to note that UN General Assembly, UNGA Resolution 67/290 effectively gives MGoS including CSOs Active Participant Status in all 2030 Agenda processes. This statutory provision is basis for MGoS including CSOs enjoying Right to Participation in all UN System Events and Activities that concern them. However, UNDESA and all remaining UN System Entities need help if they are to Re-engineer themselves and thus be in a position to meaningfully support 193/306 UN Member States to undertake the Re-engineering required to be back on Track and remain on Track until SDGs/SDGs Pledge are delivered in all UN Member States by end 2030 target date.

Six Years after Resolution 69/290 was Passed, It Is yet to be Operationalized In Practice. As long as 193/306 UN Member States, UN System – UNO, WBG, IMF, WTO (ITO) and MGoS do not know why this Is the Case, they will not know HOW to Opeartionalize this Resolution In Practice in the Next 12 ½ Years left to deliver on SDGs/SDGs Pledge.

National Leaders and World Leaders need to appreciate that without meaningfully addressing fundamental issues of Inclusion and Participation, it will be especially difficult to meaningfully address fundamental issues of: not invented here; denial, deception, delusion, shrieking responsibility; powerlessness; oppression; legal and illegal corruption; polarization and politicization; inequity, inequality, injustice, impunity, indifference, incompetence, ignorance, indiscipline, interference; collaboration, cooperation, cohesion, coordination and commitment. If this is not done delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in all 193/306 UN Member States will be mirage.

## **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Right to Development Report**

The UN Special Rapporteur on Right to Development September 2017 Report is the first in a Series Reports the Special Rapporteur look forward to releasing throughout his 3 year tenure aimed at helping North and South Countries – All UN Member States; UN System: UNO, WBG, IMF and Partners at specific Community, Sub-national National, Sub-regional, Regional and Global, CSnNSrRG levels to jointly tackle UN General Assembly and Human Rights Council, UNGA and HRC, Right to Development Declaration and related Declarations, Implementation and Evaluation Challenges at each specific CSnNSrRG level.

The Special Rapporteur's September 2017 Report was essentially outcome of baseline consultative research and diagnostic study, on the past 30 years of Right to Development. The Report analysed real problems on the ground at CSnNSrRG levels to diagnose 3 problems:-

1. Politicization
2. Lack of Engagement
3. Adverse Global Trends

And Prescribes 4 Solutions:-

1. Participation, Dialogue, Consultation and Transparency
2. Inclusiveness
3. Interdependence and Indivisibility of Human Rights
4. International Cooperation

It goes further to set out 8 Point Agenda Methods / Scope of Work. We wait to see how these solutions could be delivered in each specific CSnNSrRG location context without National Leaders and World Leaders meaningfully addressing all points made in the Paper and earlier submissions.

## **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Development Evaluation**

If National Leaders and World Leaders are to demonstrate new pace as they adopt new priorities and face direction at levels adequate for sufficient Progress in delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in each specific CSnNSrRG location context, then National Leaders and World Leaders need to take responsibility for their decisions, actions and inactions while in office. There must be-

- 1, 3, 5 Year Short Term Evaluation
- 7, 10, 15 Year Medium Term Evaluation and
- 20, 25, 30 Year Long Term Evaluation

Once National Leaders and World Leaders know that these Evaluations will be done by Independent Professionals within the Supreme National Audit Institutions that reinforce each other in all 193/306 UN Member States Framework, then they will know Rewards and Punishment, even when Post Humus shall be given / made.

The Followers of these National and World Leaders will have to know that these Leaders must carefully balance Short Term Gain and Long Term Loss with Short Term Loss and Long Term Gain. This way Society at each specific CSnNSrRG location context would be encouraged to better support these Leaders as they now know better that Painful decisions a Leader takes today would produce Gains under a different Leader in the future. Populist decisions avoiding pain today a Leader takes today would produce Loss under a different Leader in the future. They are therefore more understanding of the burden of Leadership these Leaders have to bear. Master Plans for each specific CSnNSrRG location context jointly agreed to by Politicians in Power and Opposition, Public Service and other Stakeholders, makes this task more transparent and more bearable to all concerned.

### **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Changing Institutional Thinking and Mindset of Leaders and Followers in all Stakeholder Groups**

The DCF May 2018 was intended to meaningfully address many of the issues highlighted in this Paper, given its stated Objectives and Outcome. The BIG Questions, is Did DCF 2018 achieve its Objectives and Deliver on its Outcomes. If the answer is no, then if HPLF 2018 does not learn Lessons from flaws and failures in DCF 2018, including picking and choosing which Sustainable Development Solutions Good Ideas and Pertinent Suggestions to embrace or kill (we made Special Statement on DCF Side Event at the ECOSOC Finance for Development Forum, April 2018, raising many of these issues, that DCF May 2018 Outcome seem not to have captured), HPLF and remaining UN Systems Events in the future will not know how to avoid these flaws and failures. The result is that ECOSOC Synthesis Report May 2018 finding will become reality by end 2030. Allowed to occur, the ultimate consequences would be catastrophic for over 4 Billion Poor as well as our Fragile Planet.

### **The 2018 DCF OBJECTIVES**

Advance action-oriented global policy dialogue on key development cooperation issues:-

1. Building sustainability and resilience through development cooperation
2. Policy and legal frameworks for mainstreaming inclusive multi-stakeholder partnerships and approaches in development cooperation
3. Getting better results for sustainable development: the role of national development cooperation policies
4. Leveraging South-South and triangular cooperation for sustainable development
5. Engaging the private sector effectively in development cooperation, including through blended finance
6. Bridging capacity gaps and facilitating technology development and transfer, including in domestic resource mobilization and national statistical capacity building
7. Strengthening multi-layered review and assessment of development cooperation

## **The 2018 DCF OUTCOMES**

1. The DCF will generate evidence, ideas and concrete policy recommendations to enhance quality, effectiveness and impact of international development cooperation.
2. The Forum will promote greater coherence in development cooperation policies and among all development actors, through knowledge sharing and mutual learning.
3. It will generate policy recommendations on the strategic role of development cooperation in achieving the 2030 Agenda and building sustainable and resilient societies.
4. Key findings and recommendations will feed into the 2018 High-level Political Forum on Sustainable Development and the Financing for Development follow-up process, as well as the 2019 High-level Conference on South-South Cooperation (BAPA+40).

If HPLF July 2018 and all remaining UN System future events are to avoid past flaws and failures in the work towards getting all 193/306 UN Member States to deliver on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in 12 ½ years remaining, HPLF July 2018 is opportunity to demonstrate and be seen to demonstrate National Leaders and World Leaders genuine commitment to Rethinking Development Cooperation; Rethinking Leadership; Rethinking Fourth Industrial Revolution; Rethinking Fourth Agricultural Revolution; Rethinking Development Finance; Rethinking Global Economy; Rethinking Evaluation; Rethinking Development, Diplomacy, Defence, Democracy, Data and Digitization; Rethinking Communication, Rethinking Cooperatives; Rethinking Capitalism, Socialism, Communism; Rethinking Indexes-GDP, Corruption, Governance etc and Rethinking Multi Stakeholder Partnerships / Platforms, MSPs and Lobbying as Force for Good as Foundation for Achieving increasing Convergence between 2030 Transformation Agenda – AAAA, SDG, COP21, Agenda 21 Vision Intention and Reality in each Community in each of 306/193 UN Member States for Delivery on SDG Pledge by Target Date.

## **Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in North and South Countries – Major Success Criteria**

The major implication of the Independent Peer Review of German Sustainable Development Strategy and SDG in Germany Report June 2018 as well as the UK Parliament Environmental Audit Committee Report April 2017 is that a similar Review of any North Country will achieve same or worse Scorecard and similar Review of most if not all South Countries would be much worse. The underlines the fact that No North or South Country in our World today is On Track to meet SDGs by end 2030 target date. Should this be the reality by end 2030, the ultimate consequences would be catastrophic for Citizens in all North and South Countries, especially the over 4 Billion Poor in all 193/306 UN Member States.

To avoid this now is the Time for Theory Thoughtful Conceptualization with Practice and Action for Development Results, Development Impact and Development Communication

that work in each specific CSnNSrRG location Context. This Demand Thinking Through and Thinking Ahead in each specific Community, Sub-national, National, Sub-regional, Regional and Global, CSnNSrRG location context. However Thinking Through and Thinking Ahead in each specific CSnNSrRG is Vocation that an Institution must be saddled with by concerned Authority in each specific CSnNSrRG location context.

This greatly depend on Human Behaviour – What National and International Stakeholders Do and Do Not DO, as well as How quickly National and World Leaders demonstrate Willingness to try new ideas, new thinking and new ways of doing things; Willingness to establish new partnerships, new collaboration and new cooperation; Readiness to accept past failure and Readiness to build bridge between lessons learning and lessons forgetting.

The reality is that as at end 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2018 Year 3 of Implementation, the EU as a Whole and each of its Member States do not have a Strategy in place for HOW to meet the SDGs, that with 12 ½ Years to go to end 2030 target date, so much needs to be done in so little time, if EU Countries and indeed Countries Worldwide are to meet the SDGs by end 2030 target date. The HLPF July 2018, other UN System Events and North and South Countries National Events in remaining 2 Quarters of 2018 – UNGA September 2018, WBG Fall Meetings, IMF Annual Research Conference November 2018 etc offer Platforms to begin to recover lost ground. Moving Forward the Success Criteria will be How Leaders and Followers on 193/306 UN Member States; UN System: UNO, WBG, IMF, WTO (ITO); Regional Institutions: EC/EU, AC/AU and equivalent Institutions in remaining Regions; Top 1,000 Universities in the World – Academic Staff, Non Academic Staff, Post Graduate Students and Undergraduate Students and other Stakeholders:-

1. Move from MDG Mode to SDG Mode.
2. Accept level of Organization, Orientation and Discipline required to effectively Transform their Society –each specific CSnNSrRG location context
3. National and Global Collective Action for achieving delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in each specific CSnNSrRG location context Driven by effective Communication for Behaviour Impact, COMBI and Changing Attitude and Behaviour at Scale, CABS applicable to each specific CSnNSrRG location context.
4. Fourth Industrial Revolution and Fourth Agriculture Revolution MUST work for all Peoples in all Countries.
5. Top 1,000 Universities – Academic Staff, Non Academic Staff, Postgraduate Students and Undergraduate Students leading all Universities and other Tertiary Institutions Worldwide need to be made to effectively contribute their quota to get the Task of Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge by end 2030 target date DONE.
6. All Professionals and Practitioners who have Original IDEAS for Innovative and Creative Solutions to any SDGs/SDGs Pledge Action Agenda Item for each specific CSnNSrRG location context need to be identified, promoted and protected to effectively contribute their Quota to get the Task of Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge by end 2030 target date DONE.
7. There must be end to multiple Approaches. National Leaders and World Leaders need to recognize that SDG is interrelated, interconnected, interdependent and



interlinked Agenda that is Integrated – All Inclusive, All Embracing and Ambitious. It does not have up till now an Integrated – All Inclusive, All Embracing and Ambitious Planning, Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation Approach. Policy, Program, Project Cycle Management, 3PCM One Worldwide Integrated Approach to National and International Development Cooperation, is the only such Robust One Worldwide Approach available anywhere in our World today. National Leaders and World Leaders to not have to adopt 3PCM which is available but they must adopt such One Worldwide Approach as first step towards meaningfully addressing all root problems or primary cause problems on the ground in each specific CSnNSrRG location context currently hindering work towards achieving delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in the 12 ½ years remaining.

8. Opportunity offered by HPLF 8 – 19 July 2018 to put these issues firmly in the International Agenda of National Leaders and World Leaders should not be wasted. One Day Delay pursuing Needed Change may be One Day too late.

### **Pilot Program and Scale Up Program**

The Systems, Structures, Policies, Procedures, Rules, Communication, Social Economy and Social Enterprise especially Cooperatives and Cultures Changes Comments and Suggestions set out in this Paper are Best Operationalized in Practice within Pilot Programs and Scale Up Programs.

### **HIC - DRAM Worldwide Advocacy for Delivery on SDGs/SDGs Pledge**

Policy / Decision Makers in 193/306 UN Member States; UN System: UNO, WBG, IMF, WTO (ITO) and other Stakeholders sides need to jointly recognize that if SDGs/SDGs Pledge is to be delivered in 12 ½ years remaining, correct answer to SDGs/SDGs Pledge How questions that Work and answer to related How questions that Work need to be speedily found. This demand National and World Leaders:-

1. **Hear** about this type of answer to How Questions
2. **Be Informed** about this type of answer to How Questions
3. **Be Convinced** that these type of answer to How Questions Work for their unique and specific location context
4. **Decide to Take Action** towards the Full Implementation and effective Monitoring and Evaluation of the Implementation of this type of answer to How Questions.
5. **Actually Take Action** towards the Full Implementation and effective Monitoring and Evaluation of the Implementation of this type of answer to How Questions.
6. **Reinforce taking Action** towards the Full Implementation and effective Monitoring and Evaluation of the Implementation of this type of answer to How Questions.
7. **Maintain taking Action** towards the Full Implementation and effective Monitoring and Evaluation of the Implementation of this type of answer to How Questions to achieve Results on Successful and Sustainable Basis.

## Call for Expression of Interest

The answer to Cooperatives / Social and Solidarity Economy Dimension of SDGs HOW Questions that is Integral part of answer to SDGs/SDGs Pledge How Questions in all 193/306 UN Member States essentially is **Matter of DOING and Not SAYING** (answer to What Questions). These answers need to be found within **real life** Policy, Program, Project Interventions, 3PIs and 3PIs Training as One and **adjusted or amended** based on realities of Implementation and Evaluation.

Our World cannot afford the ultimate catastrophic consequences of failure to deliver on SDGs/SDGs Pledge in all 193/306 UN Member States by end 2030 target date. If all National and International Stakeholders are to Work Together to Benefit Together, now is the time for adopting new priorities and facing new direction and in ways that meaningfully improve cooperation, collaboration, cohesion, coordination and commitment.

To kick start this process we **invite**:-

1. UN Member States Governments: Executive, Legislature, Judiciary at all tiers with responsibility for below Issues.
2. UN System: UNO –
  - a) UNDESA – Political Development, Economic Development and Social Development
  - b) UNDP – Development Communication
  - c) UNESCO – Education for Sustainable Development
  - d) UNRISD – Development Research
  - e) FAO – Food and Agriculture
  - f) UNDP – Poverty and Development
  - g) UNEP – Poverty and Environment
  - h) UNGC – Business for Sustainable Development
  - i) UNCTAD – Trade and Development
  - j) ILO – Human Resources Management
  - k) UNCEB – Central Coordination
3. UN System: WBG Entities with responsibility for above Issues
4. UN System: IMF Entities with responsibility for above Issues
5. UN System: WTO (ITO) Entities with responsibility for above issues
6. Regional Institutions – EC/EU; AC/AU and equivalent in other Regions Entities with responsibility for above issues
7. Top 1,000 Universities in the World  
genuinely interested in Working Together with Other National and International Stakeholders in the work towards harnessing the HUGE Potentials of Cooperatives / Social and Solidarity Economy Organizations – Traditional and New, as well as HUGE Potentials of other available Human, Material and Technology Resources towards delivery on SDG Pledge in all Communities in their Country or Country where they operate to send Expression of Interest to: [nehap.initiative@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:nehap.initiative@yahoo.co.uk) and [info@nehmapglobal.org](mailto:info@nehmapglobal.org)

## Conclusion

If National Leaders and World Leaders on 193/306 UN Member States; UN System: UNO, WBG, IMF, WTO (ITO); Business; NGO; Academia; Religious Institutions, Traditional Institutions; Media and other Stakeholder Groups sides do not know **why Design and Delivery of past National Development Vision and International Development Vision in all 193/306 UN Member States had flaws and failures**, these National Leaders and World Leaders will not know **How to help National and Global Stakeholders Re-design National Development Vision and International Development Vision as well as Redesign Global Plans, especially 2030 Transformation Agenda: AAAA, SDG, COP21, Agenda 21 for delivery on SDGs Pledge in all Communities in all 193/306 UN Member States** by 2030 target date.

To achieve Peace, Prosperity and Sustainable Production, War on Conflict, Inequality and Unsustainable Production must be fought and won in all 193/306 UN Member States. This demand making Fourth Industrial Revolution and Fourth Agriculture Revolution Work for the over 4 Billion Poor through Brexit as Force for Good; Globalization and Force for Good and MSPs as Force for Good in each specific Community, Sub-national, National, Sub-regional, Regional and Global location context.

If Citizens in all 193/306 UN Member States are not to be Left Behind, thus making Post 2030 World more unstable, the Global PUSH to achieve SDGs / SDGs Pledge by end 2030 target date must be meaningfully supported by National Leaders and World Leaders Now. With just 12 ½ years to go One Day delay may be One Day too late. This underlies urgent need for all National and International Stakeholders to shift from MDGs Mode to SDGs Mode as well as make Quantum Leapfrog required to deliver SDGs / SDGs Pledge in all Countries, Communities and Continents in our World today.

If **2018 is to mark turning point** in the work towards getting all Communities in all 193/306 UN Member States back on Track towards delivery on above SDG Pledge, then these National Leaders and World Leaders need to consider and take appropriate action and on time on comments and suggestions set out in this Paper.

It is our hope that Bright Prospects of Success would not be lost.

**Please help circulate Message.**

**Contact:**

**Director General**

**Economic Alliance Group**

**Affiliate Members: International Society for Poverty Elimination (Global NGO);**

**ER and Associates Limited (International Development Cooperation Consultants)**

**New End Hunger, Malnutrition and Poverty, NEHMAP Initiative (Global Social Enterprise) etc**

**M: +234-8162469805**

**Blog: <http://developmentchangechampions.blogspot.com.ng>**

**Website: [www.nehmapglobal.org](http://www.nehmapglobal.org)**

**Email: [nehap.initiative@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:nehap.initiative@yahoo.co.uk) [info@nehmapglobal.org](mailto:info@nehmapglobal.org)**

**23 June 2018.**